arXiv:1304.7472v1 [math.AG] 28 Apr 2013

On automorphisms and endomorphisms of projective varieties

Michel Brion

Abstract

In this note, we first show that any connected algebraic group is the neutral component of the automorphism group scheme of some normal projective variety. Then we show that very few connected algebraic semigroups can be realized as endomorphisms of some projective variety X, by describing the structure of all connected subsemigroup schemes of $\mathrm{End}(X)$.

1 Introduction and statement of the results

By a result of Winkelmann (see [Wi04]), every connected real Lie group G can be realized as the automorphism group of some complex Stein manifold X, which may be chosen complete, and hyperbolic in the sense of Kobayashi. Subsequently, Kan showed in [Ka07] that we may further assume $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.

We shall obtain a somewhat similar result for connected algebraic groups. We first introduce some notation and conventions, and recall background results on automorphism group schemes.

Throughout this note, we consider schemes and their morphisms over a fixed algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic. Schemes are assumed to be separated; subschemes are locally closed unless mentioned otherwise. By a point of a scheme S, we mean a T-valued point $f : T \to S$ for some scheme T. A variety is an integral scheme X of finite type; we shall identify X with its set of k-rational points equipped with the Zariski topology and with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$.

For any projective scheme X, the functor of automorphisms,

$$T \longmapsto \mathrm{Aut}_T(X \times T),$$

is represented by a group scheme, locally of finite type, that we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is the space of global sections of the tangent sheaf, $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ (see [Gr61, p. 268] for these results; they hold more generally for any proper scheme of finite type over a field, see [MO67, Thm. 3.7]). In particular, the neutral component, $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$, is a group scheme of finite type, and its reduced subscheme, $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$, is a connected algebraic group, i.e., a smooth connected group scheme of finite type. In characteristic 0, every group scheme of finite type is reduced, and hence $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$. Yet $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ can be nonreduced in positive characteristics (see e.g. the examples in [MO67, §4]).

We may now state our first result:

Theorem 1. *Let G be a connected algebraic group, and n its dimension.*
If $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$*, then there exists a smooth projective variety X such that* $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \cong G$ *and* $\dim(X) = 2n$*.*
If $\mathrm{char}(k) > 0$*, then there exists a normal projective variety X such that* $\mathrm{Aut}^0_{\mathrm{red}}(X) \cong G$ *and* $\dim(X) = 2n$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \cong G$ *and* $\dim(X) = 2n + 2$*).*

This result is proved in Section 2, first in the case where $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$; then we adapt the arguments to the case of a prime characteristic, which is technically more involved due to group schemes issues. We rely on results about algebraic groups and group scheme actions, for which we refer to the recent exposition [BSU13]. The main ingredient is a covariance property for connected automorphism group schemes (see [loc. cit., §4.2]), analogous to a classical result of Blanchard about automorphisms of compact complex manifolds (see [Bl56, §I.1], and [Ak95, §2.4] for a generalization).

Theorem 1 leaves open many basic questions about automorphism group schemes. For instance, can one realize every connected algebraic group (or more generally, every connected group scheme of finite type) as the full automorphism group scheme of a normal projective variety? Also, very little seems to be known about the group of components, $\mathrm{Aut}(X)/\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$, where X is a projective variety. In particular, the question of the finite generation of this group is open, already when X is a complex projective manifold.

Next, we turn to the monoid schemes of endomorphisms of projective varieties; we shall describe their connected subsemigroup schemes. For this, we need additional background results on schemes of morphisms.

Given two projective schemes X and Y, the functor of morphisms,

$$T \longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}_T(X \times T, Y \times T) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(X \times T, Y),$$

is represented by an open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}(X \times Y)$, by assigning to each morphism its graph (see [Gr61, p. 268], and [Ko96, §1.10], [Se06, §4.6.6] for more details). We denote that open subscheme by $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$. The composition of morphisms yields a natural transformation of functors, and hence a morphism of schemes

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y) \times \mathrm{Hom}(Y, Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X, Z), \quad (f, g) \longmapsto gf$$

where Z is another projective scheme.

As a consequence of these results, the functor of endomorphisms of a projective scheme X is represented by a scheme, $\mathrm{End}(X)$; moreover, the composition of endomorphisms equips $\mathrm{End}(X)$ with a structure of monoid scheme with neutral element being of course the identity, id_X. Each connected component of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ is of finite type, and these components form a countable set. The automorphism group scheme $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is open in $\mathrm{End}(X)$ by [Gr61, p. 267] (see also [Ko96, Lem. I.1.10.1]). If X is a variety, then $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is also closed in $\mathrm{End}(X)$ by [Br12, Lem. 4.4.4]; thus, $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is a union of connected components of $\mathrm{End}(X)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ is the connected component of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ through id_X.

As another consequence, given a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of projective schemes, the functor of sections of f is represented by a scheme that we shall denote by $\mathrm{Sec}(f)$: the fiber at id_Y of the morphism

$$\lambda_f : \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(Y), \quad g \longmapsto fg.$$

Every section of f is a closed immersion; moreover, $\mathrm{Sec}(f)$ is identified with an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Hilb}(X)$ by assigning to each section its image (see [Gr61, p. 268] again; our notation differs from the one used there). Given a section $s \in \mathrm{Sec}(f)(k)$, we may identify Y with the closed subscheme $Z := s(Y)$; then f is identified with a *retraction* of X onto that subscheme, i.e., to a morphism $r : X \to Z$ such that $ri = \mathrm{id}_Z$, where $i : Z \to X$ denotes the inclusion. Moreover, the endomorphism $e := ir$ of X is *idempotent*, i.e., satisfies $e^2 = e$.

Conversely, every idempotent k-rational point of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ can be written uniquely as $e = ir$, where $i : Y \to X$ is the inclusion of the image of e (which coincides with its fixed point subscheme), and $r : X \to Y$ is a retraction. When X is a variety, Y is a projective variety as well. Moreover, the morphism

$$\rho_r : \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(X), \quad f \longmapsto fr$$

restricts to an isomorphism from the connected component of $\mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$ through i, to the connected component of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ through e (see [Br12, Prop. 4.4.2, Rem. 4.4.3]). We now analyze the latter component, $C \subset \mathrm{End}(X)$:

Proposition 2. (i) *With the above notation, C is a subsemigroup scheme of* $\mathrm{End}(X)$. *Moreover, $f = fe$ for any $f \in C$.*

(ii) *The morphism*

$$\lambda_i\rho_r : \mathrm{End}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(X), \quad f \longmapsto ifr$$

restricts to an isomorphism of semigroup schemes $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} eC$. *In particular, eC is a group scheme with neutral element e.*

(iii) ρ_r *restricts to an isomorphism from the connected component of* $\mathrm{Sec}(r)$ *through i, to the subscheme $E(C)$ of idempotents in C. Moreover, $f_1 f_2 = f_1$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in E(C)$.*

(iv) *The morphism*

$$\varphi : E(C) \times eC \to C, \quad (f, g) \longmapsto fg$$

is an isomorphism of semigroup schemes, where the semigroup law on the right-hand side is given by $(f_1, g_1) \cdot (f_2, g_2) = (f_1, g_1 g_2)$.

This result is proved in Subsection 3.1; it implies readily that the maximal connected subgroup schemes of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ are exactly the $\lambda_i\rho_r(\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y))$ with the above notation (this fact may be easily checked directly).

As another consequence of Proposition 2, the endomorphism scheme of a projective variety may have everywhere nonreduced connected components, even in characteristic 0. Consider for example a ruled surface

$$r : X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow Y,$$

where Y is an elliptic curve and $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free sheaf on Y which belongs to a nonsplit exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

(such a sequence exists in view of the isomorphisms $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong k$). Let $i : Y \to X$ be the section associated with $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_Y$. Then the image of i yields an isolated point of $\mathrm{Hilb}(X)$ with Zariski tangent space of dimension 1 (see e.g. [Se06, Ex. 4.6.7]). Thus, the connected component of $\mathrm{Sec}(r)$ through i is a nonreduced fat point. By Proposition 2 (iv), the connected component of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ through $e := ir$ is isomorphic to the product of that fat point with $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y) \cong Y$, and hence is nonreduced everywhere. This explains a posteriori why we have to be so fussy with semigroup schemes.

A further consequence of Proposition 2 is the following structure result:

Proposition 3. *Let X be a projective variety, S a connected subsemigroup scheme of* $\mathrm{End}(X)$*, and $E(S) \subset S$ the closed subscheme of idempotents.*

(i) *$E(S)$ is a non-empty, connected subsemigroup scheme of S with semigroup law given by $f_1 f_2 = f_1$.*

(ii) *For any $e \in E(S)(k)$, the closed subsemigroup scheme $eS \subset S$ is a group scheme. Moreover, the morphism*

$$E(S) \times eS \longrightarrow S, \quad (f, g) \longmapsto fg$$

is an isomorphism of semigroup schemes.

This result is proved in Subsection 3.2. The new ingredient is the fact that a subsemigroup scheme of a group scheme of finite type is a subgroup scheme (Lemma 14).

Proposition 3 yields strong restrictions on the structure of connected subsemigroup schemes of $\mathrm{End}(X)$, where X is a projective variety. For example, if such a subsemigroup scheme is commutative or has a neutral element, then it is just a group scheme.

As another application of that proposition, we shall show that the dynamics of an endomorphism of X which belongs to some algebraic subsemigroup is very restricted. To formulate our result, we need the following:

Definition 4. Consider an endomorphism f of a projective variety X.

We say that f is *bounded*, if f belongs to a subsemigroup of finite type of $\mathrm{End}(X)$. Equivalently, the powers f^n, where $n \geq 1$, are all contained in a finite union of subvarieties of $\mathrm{End}(X)$.

We say that a point $x \in X(k)$ is *periodic*, if x is fixed by some power f^n, where $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 5. *Let f be a bounded endomorphism of a projective variety X.*

(i) *There exists a smallest closed algebraic subgroup $G \subset$ $\mathrm{End}(X)$ such that $f^n \in G$ for all $n \gg 0$. Moreover, G is commutative.*

(ii) *f has a periodic point if and only if G is linear. When X is normal, this is equivalent to the assertion that some positive power f^n acts on the Albanese variety of X via an idempotent.*

This result is proved in Subsection 3.3. As a direct consequence, every bounded endomorphism of a normal projective variety X has a periodic point, when the Albanese variety of X is trivial. We do not know if any such endomorphism has a fixed point.

Also, it would be interesting to extend the above propositions to endomorphism schemes of complete varieties. In this setting, the rigidity results of [Br12, §4.4] still hold, but the representability of the functor of morphisms by a scheme is unclear: the Hilbert functor of a complete variety is generally not represented by a scheme (see e.g. [Ko96, Ex. 5.5.1]), but no such example seems to be known for morphisms.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

2.1 In characteristic 0

Consider G as a homogeneous space under the action of $G \times G$ by left and right multiplication. Then G admits an equivariant normal projective completion, i.e., there exists a (normal projective) variety Y equipped with an action of $G \times G$ and containing an open orbit isomorphic to G (see [BSU13, Prop. 3.1.1]). We now construct the desired variety X from Y by proving a succession of lemmas.

Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}^G(Y)$ the group of automorphisms of Y which commute with the left action by every $g \in G(k)$. Then the right action of G on Y yields a homomorphism

$$\varphi : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^G(Y).$$

Lemma 6. *With the above notation, φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{Aut}^G(Y)$ stabilizes the open orbit for the left G-action, and this orbit is isomorphic to G. This yields a homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}^G(Y) \to \mathrm{Aut}^G(G)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}^G(G) \cong G$ via the action of G on itself by right multiplication, and the resulting homomorphism $\psi : \mathrm{Aut}^G(Y) \to G$ is readily seen to be inverse of φ. ☐

Lemma 7. *There exists a finite subset $F \subset G(k)$ which generates a dense subgroup of G.*

Proof. If the statement holds for some closed normal subgroup H of G and for the quotient group G/H, then it clearly holds for G. Thus, we may assume that G is simple, in the sense that it has no proper closed connected normal subgroup. Then, by Chevalley's structure theorem (see e.g. [BSU13, Thm. 1.1.1]), G is either an abelian variety or a linear algebraic group. In the former case, there exists $g \in G(k)$ of infinite order, and every such point generates a dense subgroup of G (actually, every abelian variety, not necessarily simple, is topologically generated by some k-rational point, see [FPS07, Thm. 9]). In the latter case, G either the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$, the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$, or a connected semisimple group. Therefore, G is generated by finitely many copies of $\mathbb{G}_a$ and $\mathbb{G}_m$, each of which is topologically generated by some k-rational point (specifically, by any nonzero $t \in k$ for $\mathbb{G}_a$, and by any $u \in k^*$ of infinite order for $\mathbb{G}_m$). ☐

In view of Lemmas 6 and 7, we may choose a finite subset $F \subset G(k)$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}^F(Y) \cong G$; we may further assume that $\mathrm{id}_Y \in F$.

Next, we identify G with a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y \times Y)$ via the diagonal embedding

$$\iota : \mathrm{Aut}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(Y \times Y), \quad \varphi \longmapsto \varphi \times \varphi.$$

Note that ι is a closed imbedding of group schemes, and $\iota(G) \subset \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)$. We now record the following key observation:

Lemma 8. *Keep the above notation, and denote by $\mathrm{Aut}^{F,o}(Y)$ the neutral component of $\mathrm{Aut}^F(Y)$; for any $f \in F$, let $\Gamma_f \subset Y \times Y$ be the graph of f (so that Γ_{id_Y} is the diagonal, $\mathrm{diag}(Y)$. Then $\iota(\mathrm{Aut}^{F,o}(Y))$ is the intersection of the stabilizers in $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)$ of the subvarieties Γ_f, where $f \in F$.*

Proof. The natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y) \times \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \longmapsto \varphi \times \psi$$

is an isomorphism (see e.g. [BSU13, Cor. 4.2.7]). Moreover, $\varphi \times \psi$ stabilizes a graph Γ_f if and only if $\psi f = f\varphi$. In particular, $\varphi \times \psi$ stabilizes $\mathrm{diag}(Y) = \Gamma_{\mathrm{id}_Y}$ iff $\psi = \varphi$, and $\varphi \times \varphi$ stabilizes Γ_f iff φ commutes with f. □

By Lemmas 6, 7 and 8, $\iota(G)$ is the stabilizer in $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)$ of each of the graphs Γ_f, where $f \in F$.

Denote by X the normalization of the blowing-up of $Y \times Y$ along the union of these graphs. Then X is a normal projective variety equipped with a birational morphism

$$\pi : X \longrightarrow Y \times Y$$

which induces a homomorphism of group schemes

$$\pi^* : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(X).$$

Lemma 9. *Keep the above notation and assume that* $n \geq 2$. *Then* π^* *yields an isomorphism of algebraic groups* $G \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$.

Proof. Note that $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y}$ by Zariski's main theorem. Thus, π induces a homomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\pi_* : \mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)$$

(see e.g. [BSU13, Cor. 4.2.6]). In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ preserves the fibers of π, and hence stabilizes the exceptional divisor E of that morphism; as a consequence, the image of π_* stabilizes $\pi(E)$. By connectedness, this image stabilizes every irreducible component of $\pi(E)$; but these components are exactly the graphs Γ_f, where $f \in F$ (since the codimension of any such graph in $Y \times Y$ is $\dim(Y) = n \geq 2$). Thus, the image of π_* is contained in $\iota(G)$: we may view π_* as a homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \to G$. Since π is birational, both maps π^*, π_* are injective and the composition $\pi_*\pi^*$ is the identity. It follows that π_* is inverse to π^*. □

We may now complete the proof of Theorem 1 when $n \geq 2$. Let X be as in Lemma 9; then X admits an equivariant desingularization, i.e., there exists a smooth projective variety X' equipped with an action of G and with a G-equivariant birational morphism

$$f : X' \longrightarrow X$$

(see [Wl05, Thm. 1.0.3] or [Ko07, Thm. 3.3.6]). Applying again [BSU13, Cor. 4.2.6], we obtain a homomorphism of algebraic groups

$$f_* : \mathrm{Aut}^o(X') \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$$

which is injective again, and surjective by construction. This yields the desired isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X') \cong G$.

On the other hand, if $n = 1$, then G is either an elliptic curve, or $\mathbb{G}_m$, or $\mathbb{G}_a$. We now construct a smooth projective surface X such that $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \cong G$, via case-by-case elementary arguments.

When G is an elliptic curve, we have $G \cong \mathrm{Aut}^o(G)$ via the action of G by translations on itself. It follows that $G \cong \mathrm{Aut}^o(G \times C)$, where C is any smooth projective curve of genus ≥ 2.

When $G = \mathbb{G}_m$, we view G as the group of automorphisms of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ that fix the points 0 and ∞. Choose $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(k) \backslash \{0, \infty\}$ and consider the smooth projective surface X obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at the five points $(0, 0)$, $(0, \infty)$, $(\infty, 0)$, (∞, x), and (∞, ∞). Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 9, one checks that $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^o(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ that fixes each of these five points, i.e., to $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting on the first copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Finally, when $G = \mathbb{G}_a$, we view G as the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1$ that fix the point ∞ and the tangent line at that point, $T_\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$. Let X be the smooth projective surface obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at the three points $(\infty, 0)$, (∞, x), and (∞, ∞). Then $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ is isomorphic to the stabilizer of ∞ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, i.e, to the automorphism group Aff_1 of the affine line, acting on the first copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ acts on each exceptional line via the natural action of Aff_1 on $\mathbb{P}(T_\infty(\mathbb{P}^1) \oplus k)$, with an obvious notation. In other words, each exceptional line is isomorphic to the projective completion of $T_\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$, equivariantly for the action of Aff_1. Let X' be the smooth projective surface obtained by blowing up X at three distinct k-rational points of the same exceptional line; then $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X') \cong \mathbb{G}_a$.

2.2 In prime characteristic

Note that G still admits a $G \times G$-equivariant projective normal completion by [BSU13, Prop. 3.1.1]. We may thus consider the closed subgroup scheme $\mathrm{Aut}^G(Y) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$, defined as the centralizer of $G(k)$ acting on the left; the G-action on the right still yields a homomorphism of group schemes $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}^G(Y)$.

As in Lemma 6, *φ is an isomorphism.* To check this claim, note that φ induces an isomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Aut}^G(Y)_{\mathrm{red}}$ by the argument of that lemma. Moreover, the induced homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\varphi) : \mathrm{Lie}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}^G(Y)$$

is an isomorphism as well: indeed, $\mathrm{Lie}(\varphi)$ is identified with the natural map

$$\psi : \mathrm{Lie}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}^G(\mathcal{O}_Y),$$

where $\mathrm{Der}^G(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ denotes the Lie algebra of $G(k)$-invariant derivations of $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Moreover, the restriction to the open dense subset G of Y yields an injective map

$$\eta : \mathrm{Der}^G(\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}^G(\mathcal{O}_G) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(G)$$

such that $\eta\psi = \mathrm{id}$; thus, η is the inverse of ψ. It follows that $\mathrm{Aut}^G(Y)$ is reduced; this completes the proof of the claim.

Next, *Lemma 7 fails in positive characteristics*, already for $\mathbb{G}_a$ since every finite subset of k generates a finite additive group; that lemma also fails for $\mathbb{G}_m$ when k is the algebraic closure of a finite field. Yet we have the following replacement:

Lemma 10. *With the above notation, there exists a finite subset F of $G(k)$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}^G(Y) = \mathrm{Aut}^{F,o}(Y)$.*

Proof. The subgroup schemes $\mathrm{Aut}^{E,o}(Y)$, where E runs over the finite subsets of $G(k)$, form a family of closed subschemes of $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y)$. Thus, there exists a minimal such subgroup scheme, say, $\mathrm{Aut}^{F,o}(Y)$. For any $g \in G(k)$, the subgroup scheme $\mathrm{Aut}^{F\cup\{g\},o}(Y)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}^{F,o}(Y)$; thus, equality holds by minimality. In other words, $\mathrm{Aut}^{F,o}(Y)$ commutes with g; hence F satisfies the assertion. ☐

It follows from Lemmas 6 and 10 that $\mathrm{Aut}^{F,o}(Y) \cong G$ for some finite subset $F \subset G(k)$.

Next, *Lemma 8 still holds* with the same proof, in view of [BSU13, Cor. 4.2.7]. Thus, we may again identify G with the stabilizer in $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)$ of each of the graphs Γ_f, where $f \in F$.

Let $\pi : X \to Y \times Y$ be the birational morphism defined as in Lemma 9. Then π induces a homomorphism of group schemes

$$\pi^* : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^o(X),$$

and the statement of Lemma 9 adapts as follows:

Lemma 11. *Keep the above notation and assume that $n \neq 2$. Then π^* yields an isomorphism of algebraic groups $G \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$.*

Proof. By [BSU13, Cor. 4.2.6] again, we have a homomorphism of group schemes

$$\pi_* : \mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)$$

and hence a homomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\pi_{*,\mathrm{red}} : \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 9, one checks that $\pi_{*,\mathrm{red}}$ maps $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ onto $\iota(G)$, and is injective on k-rational points. Also, the corresponding homomorphism of Lie algebras is injective, since π is birational and hence the map

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_*) : \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}^o(X) = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{Y\times Y}) = \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y \times Y)$$

is injective. Thus, we have an isomorphism $\pi_{*,\mathrm{red}} : \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \iota(G)$ which is the inverse of π^*. ☐

To realize G as a full connected automorphism group scheme, we now prove:

Lemma 12. *With the above notation, the homomorphism of Lie algebras*

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\pi^*) : \mathrm{Lie}(G) \to \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

is an isomorphism if $n \geq 2$ and $n - 1$ is not a multiple of p.

Proof. Since π is birational, both maps $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi^*)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_*)$ are injective and the composition $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_*)\,\mathrm{Lie}(\pi^*)$ is the identity of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Thus, it suffices to show that the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_*)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. For this, we use a natural action of $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ on the jacobian ideal of π, defined as follows. Consider the sheaf Ω^1_X of Kähler differentials on X. Recall that $\Omega^1_X \cong \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{diag}(X)}/\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathrm{diag}(X)}$ with an obvious notation; thus, Ω^1_X is equipped with an $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-linearization (see [SGA3, Exp. I, §6] for background on linearized sheaves, also called equivariant). Likewise, $\Omega^1_{Y\times Y}$ is equipped with an $\mathrm{Aut}(Y\times Y)$-linearization, and hence with an $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$-linearization via the homomorphism π_*. Moreover, the natural map $\pi^*(\Omega^1_{Y\times Y}) \to \Omega^1_X$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$-linearized sheaves, since it arises from the inclusion $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{diag}(Y\times Y)}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{diag}(X)}$. This yields a morphism of $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$-linearized sheaves $\pi^*(\Omega^{2n}_{Y\times Y}) \to \Omega^{2n}_X$. Since the composition $\Omega^{2n}_X \times \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^{2n}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X$ is also a morphism of linearized sheaves, we obtain a morphism of linearized sheaves

$$\mathcal{H}om(\Omega^{2n}_X, \pi^*(\Omega^{2n}_{Y\times Y})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

with image the jacobian ideal $\mathcal{I}_\pi$. Thus, $\mathcal{I}_\pi$ is equipped with an $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$-linearization. In particular, for any open subset U of X, the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ acts on $\mathcal{O}(U)$ by derivations that stabilize $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}_\pi)$.

We now take $U = \pi^{-1}(V)$, where V denotes the open subset of $Y \times Y$ consisting of those smooth points that belong to at most one graph Γ_f, where $f \in F$. Then the restriction

$$\pi_U : U \longrightarrow V$$

is the blowing-up of the smooth variety V along a closed subscheme W, the disjoint union of smooth subvarieties of codimension n. Thus, $\mathcal{I}_{\pi_U} = \mathcal{O}_U(-(n-1)E)$, where E denotes the exceptional divisor of π_U. Hence we obtain an injective map

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{I}_{\pi_U}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U(-(n-1)E)),$$

with an obvious notation. Since $n-1$ is not a multiple of p, we have

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U(-(n-1)E)) = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U(-E)).$$

(Indeed, if $D \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U(-(n-1)E))$ and z is a local generator of $\mathcal{O}_U(-E)$ at $x \in X$, then $z^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ contains $D(z^{n-1}) = (n-1)z^{n-2}D(z)$, and hence $D(z) \in z\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$). Also, the natural map

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_U) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(\pi_{U,*}(\mathcal{O}_U)) = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_V)$$

is injective and sends $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U(-E))$ to $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_V, \mathcal{I})$, where $\mathcal{I} := \pi_{U,*}(\mathcal{O}_U(-E))$. Moreover, $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal sheaf of W, and hence is stable under the image of the composition

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)) = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{Y\times Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_V).$$

It follows that the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_*)$ stabilizes the ideal sheaf of the closure of W in $Y \times Y$, i.e., of the union of the graphs Γ_f. In view of Lemma 8, we conclude that $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_*)$ sends $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ to $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. $\square$

Lemmas 11 and 12 yield an isomorphism $G \cong \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ when $n \geq 2$ and p does not divide $n-1$. Next, when $n \geq 2$ and p divides $n-1$, we choose a smooth projective curve C of genus $g \geq 2$, and consider $Y' := Y \times C$. This is a normal projective variety of dimension $n+1$, equipped with an action of $G \times G$. Moreover, we have isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y) \times \mathrm{Aut}^o(C) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Aut}^o(Y'), \quad \varphi \longmapsto \varphi \times \mathrm{id}_C$$

(see [BSU13, Cor. 4.2.6]) which identifies $G \cong \mathrm{Aut}^{G,o}(Y)$ with $\mathrm{Aut}^{G,o}(Y')$. We may thus replace everywhere Y with Y' in the above arguments, to obtain a normal projective variety X' of dimension $2n+2$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X') \cong G$.

Finally, if $n = 1$ then $G \cong \mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ for some smooth projective surface X, constructed as at the end of Subsection 2.1.

Remark 13. If G is linear, then there exists a normal projective *rational* variety X such that $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}} \cong G$ and $\dim(X) = 2n$. Indeed, G itself is rational, and hence so is the variety X considered in the above proof when $n \geq 2$. On the other hand, when $n = 1$, we have $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ or $\mathbb{G}_a$, and the above proof yields a smooth projective rational surface X such that $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \cong G$.

Conversely, if X is a normal projective variety having a trivial Albanese variety (e.g., X is rational), then $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ is linear. Indeed, the Albanese variety of $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is trivial as well, in view of [Br10, Thm. 2]. Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is affine by Chevalley's structure theorem. It follows that $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X)$ is affine, and hence linear.

Returning to a connected linear algebraic group G, the above proof adapts to show that there exists a normal projective rational variety X such that $\mathrm{Aut}^o(X) \cong G$: in the argument after Lemma 12, it suffices to replace the curve C with a normal projective rational variety Z such that $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Z)$ is trivial and $\dim(Z) \geq 2$ is not a multiple of p. Such a variety may be obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 4 points in general position when $p \geq 3$; if $p = 2$, then we blow up $\mathbb{P}^3$ along a smooth curve which is neither rational, nor contained in a plane.

3 Proofs of the statements about endomorphisms

3.1 Proof of Proposition 2

(i) The scheme-theoretic image of $C \times C$ under the composition $\mathrm{End}(X) \times \mathrm{End}(X) \to \mathrm{End}(X)$ is connected and contains $e^2 = e$; thus, this image is contained in C. Therefore, C is a subsemigroup scheme of $\mathrm{End}(X)$. Also, every $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$ satisfies $gre = grir = gr$. Thus, $f = fe$ for any $f \in C$.

(ii) Since $(if_1r)(if_2r) = if_1f_2r$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{End}(Y)$, we see that $\lambda_i\rho_r$ is a homomorphism of semigroup schemes which sends id_Y to e. Also, $eifr = irifr = ifr$ for all $f \in \mathrm{End}(Y)$, so that $\lambda_i\rho_r$ sends $\mathrm{End}(Y)$ to $e\mathrm{End}(X)$. Since Y is a projective variety, $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y)$ is the connected component of $\mathrm{End}(Y)$ through i, and hence is sent by $\lambda_i\rho_r$ to $C \cap e\mathrm{End}(X) = eC$.

To show that $\lambda_i\rho_r$ is an isomorphism, note that $eC = eCe = irCir$ by (i). Moreover, the morphism

$$\lambda_r\rho_i : \mathrm{End}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(Y), \quad f \longmapsto rfi$$

sends e to id_Y, and hence C to $\mathrm{Aut}^o(Y)$. Finally, $\lambda_r\rho_i(\lambda_i\rho_r(f)) = r(ifr)i = f$ for all $f \in \mathrm{End}(Y)$, and $\lambda_i\rho_r(\lambda_r\rho_i(f)) = i(rfi)r = efe = f$ for all $f \in eC$. Thus, $\lambda_r\rho_i$ is the desired inverse.

(iii) Let $f \in C$ such that $f^2 = f$. Then $fef = f$ by (i), and hence $ef \in eC$ is idempotent. But eC is a group scheme by (ii); thus, $ef = e$. Write $f = gr$, where g is a point of the connected component of $\mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$ through i. Then $egr = e$ and hence $rgr = r$, so that $rg = \mathrm{id}_Y$. Conversely, if $g \in \mathrm{Sec}(r)$, then gr is idempotent as already noted. This shows the first assertion. For the second assertion, just note that $(g_1r)(g_2r) = g_1r$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Sec}(r)$.

(iv) We have with an obvious notation $\varphi(f_1, g_1)\varphi(f_2, g_2) = f_1g_1f_2g_2 = f_1g_1ef_2g_2$ by (i). Since $ef_2 = e$ by (iii), it follows that $\varphi(f_1, g_1)\varphi(f_2, g_2) = f_1g_1eg_2 = f_1g_1g_2$. Thus, φ is a homomorphism of semigroup schemes.

We now construct the inverse of φ. Let $f \in C$; then $ef \in eC$ has a unique inverse, $(ef)^{-1}$, in eC. Moreover, $f = fe = f(ef)^{-1}ef$ and $f(ef)^{-1}$ is idempotent, since

$$f(ef)^{-1}f(ef)^{-1} = f(ef)^{-1}ef(ef)^{-1} = f(ef)^{-1}e = f(ef)^{-1}.$$

We may thus define a morphism

$$\psi : C \longrightarrow E(C) \times eC, \quad f \longmapsto (f(ef)^{-1}, ef).$$

Then $\varphi\psi(f) = f(ef)^{-1}ef = fe = f$ for all $f \in C$, and $\psi\varphi(f, g) = (fg(efg)^{-1}, efg) = (fgg^{-1}, eg) = (f, g)$ for all $f \in E(C)$ and $g \in eC$. Thus, ψ is the desired inverse.

3.2 Proof of Proposition 3

(i) Consider the connected component C of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ that contains S. Then C is of finite type, and hence so is S. Thus, the reduced subscheme S_{red} is an algebraic semigroup in the sense of [Br12, Def. 2.1.1]. By [loc. cit., Prop. 2.1.6], it follows that S_{red} has an idempotent k-rational point. In other words, $E(S)$ is nonempty.

Since $E(S) \subset E(C)$, we have $f_1f_2 = f_1$ for any $f_1, f_2 \in E(S)$, in view of Proposition 2. The connectedness of $E(S)$ will be obtained at the end of the proof of (ii).

(ii) By Proposition 2 again, the composition yields an isomorphism $E(C) \times eC \xrightarrow{\cong} C$. Moreover, $fe = f$ for all $f \in C$, and $eC = eCe$ is a group scheme. Thus, $eS = eSe$ is a submonoid scheme of eC, and hence a closed subgroup scheme by Lemma 14 below. In other words, ef is invertible in eS for any $f \in S$. One may now check as in the proof of Proposition 2 (iv) that the morphism

$$S \longrightarrow E(S) \times eS, \quad f \longmapsto (f(ef)^{-1}, ef)$$

yields an isomorphism of semigroup schemes, with inverse the composition. As a consequence, $E(S)$ is connected.

Lemma 14. (i) *Let M be a monoid scheme of finite type. Then the group functor of invertibles of M is represented by a group scheme $G(M)$ which is open in M.*

(ii) *Let G be a group scheme of finite type, and $S \subset G$ a subsemigroup scheme. Then S is a closed subgroup scheme.*

Proof. (i) We first adapt a proof of the corresponding statement for (reduced) algebraic monoids (see [Br12, Thm. 2.2.4]). Denote for simplicity the composition law of M by $(x, y) \mapsto xy$, and the neutral element by 1. Let M^{op} denote the opposite monoid, that is, the scheme M equipped with the composition law $(x, y) \mapsto yx$. Consider the closed subscheme G of $M \times M^{\mathrm{op}}$ defined by $xy = yx = 1$. Then G is a subgroup scheme of the monoid scheme $M \times M^{\mathrm{op}}$, and the first projection $p : G \to M$ is a homomorphism of monoid schemes. Moreover, p sends the T-valued points of G isomorphically to the T-valued invertible points of M for any scheme T. In particular, p is universally injective.

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that p is étale. Since this condition defines an open subscheme of G, stable under the action of $G(k)$ by left multiplication, we only need to check that p is étale at the neutral element 1 of G. For this, the argument of [loc. cit.] does not adapt readily, and we shall rather consider the formal completion of M at 1,

$$N := \mathrm{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M,1}).$$

Then N is a formal scheme having a unique point; moreover, N has a structure of formal monoid scheme, defined as follows. The semigroup law $\mu : M \times M \to M$ sends $(1, 1)$ to 1, and hence yields a homomorphism of local rings $\mu^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_{M,1} \to \mathcal{O}_{M\times M,(1,1)}$. In turn, $\mu^{\#}$ yields a homomorphism of completed local rings

$$\Delta : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M,1} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M\times M,(1,1)} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M,1} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M,1}.$$

We also have the homomorphism

$$\varepsilon : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M,1} \longrightarrow k$$

associated with 1. One readily checks that Δ and ε satisfy the "co-associativity" and "co-unit" conditions (i), (ii) of [SGA3, Exp. VIIB, 2.1]; hence they define a formal monoid scheme structure on N. In view of [loc. cit., 2.7. Prop.], it follows that N is in fact a group scheme. As a consequence, $p : G \to M$ is an isomorphism after localization and completion at 1; iin other words, p is étale at 1.

(ii) Arguing as at the beginnning of the proof of Proposition 3 (i), we see that S has an idempotent k-rational point; hence S contains the neutral element of G. In other words, S is a submonoid scheme of G. The reduced monoid S_{red} has a unique idempotent, and hence is a group by [Br12, Prop. 2.2.5]. Therefore, the group scheme $G(S)$ satisfies $G(S)_{\mathrm{red}} = S_{\mathrm{red}}$. Since $G(S)$ is open in S by (i), it follows that $G(S) = S$. Thus, S is a subgroup scheme of G, and hence is closed by [SGA3, Exp. VIA, Cor. 0.5.2]. ☐

Remark 15. Proposition 3 gives back part of the description of all algebraic semigroup structures on a projective variety X, obtained in [Br12, Thm. 4.3.1].

Specifically, every such structure $\mu : X \times X \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ yields a homomorphism of semigroup schemes $\lambda : X \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(X)$, $x \longmapsto (y \mapsto xy)$ (the "left regular representation"). Thus, $S := \lambda(X)$ is a closed subsemigroup of $\mathrm{End}(X)$. Choose an idempotent $e \in X(k)$. In view of Proposition 3, we have $\lambda(x)\lambda(e) = \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in X$; moreover, $\lambda(e)\lambda(x)$ is invertible in $\lambda(e)S$. It follows that $xey = xy$ for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, for any $x \in X$, there exists $y \in eX$ such that $yexz = exyz = ez$ for all $z \in X$. In particular, $(exe)(eye) = (eye)(exe) = e$, and hence eXe is an algebraic group.

These results are the main ingredients in the proof of [Br12, Thm. 4.3.1]. They are deduced there from the classical rigidity lemma, while the proof of Proposition 3 relies on a generalization of that lemma.

3.3 Proof of Proposition 5

(i) can be deduced from the results of [BR12]; we provide a self-contained proof by adapting some of the arguments from [loc. cit.].

In view of the boundedness assumption, the closure in $\mathrm{End}(X)$ of the subset of powers f^n, where $n \geq 1$, is a subsemigroup of finite type. We denote that subsemigroup by $\langle f \rangle$. The subsemigroups $\langle f^m \rangle$, where $m \geq 1$, form a family of closed subsets of $\langle f \rangle$; hence there exists a minimal such subsemigroup, $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$. Since $\langle f^m \rangle \cap \langle f^n \rangle \supset \langle f^{mn} \rangle$, we see that $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$ is the smallest such subsemigroup.

The connected components of $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$ form a finite set F, equipped with a semigroup structure such that the natural map $\varphi : \langle f^{n_0} \rangle \to F$ is a homomorphism of semigroups. In particular, the finite semigroup F is generated by $\varphi(f^{n_0})$. It follows readily that F has a unique idempotent, say $\varphi(f^{n_0 n})$. Then the fiber $\varphi^{-1}\varphi(f^{n_0 n})$ is a closed connected subsemigroup of $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$, and contains $\langle f^{n_0 n} \rangle$. By the minimality assumption, we must have $\langle f^{n_0 n} \rangle = \varphi^{-1}\varphi(f^{n_0 n}) = \langle f^{n_0} \rangle$. As a consequence, $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$ is connected.

Also, note that the semigroup $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$ is commutative. By Proposition 3, it follows that this semigroup is in fact a group. In particular, $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$ contains a unique idempotent, say e. Therefore, e is also the unique idempotent of $\langle f \rangle$: indeed, if $g \in \langle f \rangle$ is idempotent, then $g = g^{n_0} \in \langle f^{n_0} \rangle$, and hence $g = e$.

Thus, $e\langle f \rangle = \langle ef \rangle$ is a closed submonoid of $\langle f \rangle$ with neutral element e and no other idempotent. In view of [Br12, Prop. 2.2.5], it follows that $e\langle f \rangle$ is a group, say, G. Moreover, $f^{n_0} = ef^{n_0} \in e\langle f \rangle$, and hence $f^n \in G$ for all $n \geq n_0$. On the other hand, if H is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{End}(X)$ and n_1 is a positive integer such that $f^n \in H$ for all $n \geq n_1$, then H contains $\langle f^{n_1} \rangle$, and hence $\langle f^{n_0} \rangle$ by minimality. In particular, the neutral element of H is e. Let g denote the inverse of f^{n_1} in H; then H contains $f^{n_1+1}g = ef$, and hence $G \subset H$. Thus, G satisfies (i).

(ii) Assume that $f^n(x) = x$ for some $n \geq 1$ and some $x \in X(k)$. Replacing n with a large multiple, we may assume that $f^n \in G$. Let $Y := e(X)$, where e is the neutral element of G as above, and let $y := e(x)$. Then Y is a closed subvariety of X, stable by f and hence by G; moreover, G acts on Y by automorphisms. Also, $y \in Y$ is fixed by f^n. Since $f^n = (ef)^n$, it follows that the $(ef)^m(y)$, where $m \geq 1$, form a finite set. As the positive powers of ef are dense in G, the G-orbit of y must be finite. Thus, y is fixed by the neutral component G^o. In view of [BSU13, Prop. 2.1.6], it follows that G^o is linear; hence so is G.

Conversely, if G is linear, then G^o is a connected linear commutative algebraic group, and hence fixes some point $y \in Y(k)$ by Borel's fixed point theorem. Then y is periodic for f.

Next, assume that X is normal; then so is Y by Lemma 16 below. In view of [Br10, Thm. 2], it follows that G^o acts on the Albanese variety $A(Y)$ via a finite quotient of its own Albanese variety, $A(G^o)$. In particular, G is linear if and only if G^o acts trivially on $A(Y)$. Also, note that $A(Y)$ is isomorphic to a summand of the abelian variety $A(X)$:

the image of the idempotent $A(e)$ induced by e. If G^o acts trivially on $A(Y)$, then it acts on $A(X)$ via $A(e)$, since $G^o = G^o e$. Thus, some positive power f^n acts on $A(X)$ via $A(e)$ as well. Conversely, if f^n acts on $A(X)$ via some idempotent g, then we may assume that $f^n \in G^o$ by taking a large power. Thus, $f^n = ef^n = f^n e$ and hence $g = A(e)g = gA(e)$; in other words, g acts on $A(X)$ as an idempotent of the summand $A(Y)$. On the other hand, $g = A(f^n)$ yields an automorphism of $A(Y)$; it follows that $g = A(e)$.

Lemma 16. *Let X be a normal variety, and $r : X \to Y$ a retraction. Then Y is a normal variety as well.*

Proof. Consider the normalization map, $\nu : \tilde{Y} \to Y$. By the universal property of ν, there exists a unique morphism $\tilde{r} : X \to \tilde{Y}$ such that $r = \nu\tilde{r}$. Since r has a section, so has ν. As $\tilde{Y}$ is a variety and ν is finite, it follows that ν is an isomorphism. ☐

Remark 17. With the notation of the proof of (i), the group G is the closure of the subgroup generated by ef. Hence G is *monothetic* in the sense of [FPS07], which obtains a complete description of this class of algebraic groups. Examples of monothetic algebraic groups include all the semiabelian varieties, except when k is the algebraic closure of a finite field (then the monothetic algebraic groups are exactly the finite cyclic groups).

Acknowledgements. Most of this note was written during a stay at Tsinghua University in March 2013. I warmly thank the Mathematical Sciences Center for its hospitality, and Jérémy Blanc, Stéphane Druel, Baohua Fu, and Eduard Looijenga for helpful discussions or e-mail exchanges.

References

[Ak95] D. N. Akhiezer, *Lie group actions in complex analysis*, Aspects Math. **E27**, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1995.

[Bl56] A. Blanchard, *Sur les variétés analytiques complexes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **73**, No. 2 (1956), 157–202.

[Br10] M. Brion, *Some basic results on actions of nonaffine algebraic groups*, in: Symmetry and spaces, 1–20, Progr. Math. **278**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2010.

[BR12] M. Brion and L. Renner, *Algebraic Semigroups are Strongly π-regular*, preprint, arXiv:1209.2042.

[BSU13] M. Brion, P. Samuel and V. Uma, *Lectures on the structure of algebraic groups and geometric applications*, CMI Lecture Series in Mathematics **1**, Hindustan Book Agency, New Dehli, 2013.

[Br12] M. Brion, *On algebraic semigroups and monoids*, preprint, arXiv:1208.0675.

[FPS07] G. Falcone, P. Plaumann, K. Strambach, *Monothetic algebraic groups*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **82**, No. 3 (2007), 315–324.

[Ka07] S.-J. Kan, *Complete hyperbolic Stein manifolds with prescribed automorphism groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **82**, No. 2 (2007), 371–383.

[Gr61] A. Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique IV : les schémas de Hilbert*, Séminaire Bourbaki **5** (1960–1961), Exposé No. 221, 28 pp.

[Ko96] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) **32**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[Ko07] J. Kollár, *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **166**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2007.

[MO67] H. Matsumura and F. Oort, *Representability of group functors, and automorphisms of algebraic schemes*, Invent. Math. **4**, No. 1 (1967), 1–25.

[SGA3] Schémas en groupes (SGA 3), Tome I (Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes), Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962–64, Documents Mathématiques **7**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011.

[Se06] E. Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **334**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[Wi04] J. Winkelmann, *Realizing connected Lie groups as automorphism groups of complex manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **79**, No. 2 (2004), 285–299.

[Wl05] J. Wlodarczyk, *Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18**, No. 4 (2005), 779–822.